

Facilitator(s): Cam Gale; Tom Folks

Initial Evaluation Date: 3/14/2019

Evaluators: **Bill Stevens**, ORP - Wilderness/WSA/LWC
Todd Murdock, Outdoor Recreation Planner (Permits)
Lisa Wilkolak, Realty Specialist
Gabe Bissonette, Aquatic Ecologist
Ashley Losey, Archaeologist
Katherina Diemer, NRS
Greg Halliday, County Council
Bill Jackson, County Roads
Liz Cresto, Realty Specialist

Doug Wight, GIS Specialist
David Williams, Range Conservationist
Pam Riddle, Wildlife Biologist
Adam Robison, Resource Specialist
David Pals, Geologist
Katie Stevens, Outdoor Recreation Planner
Jordan Davis, Assistant Field Manager
Shea Owens, Policy Analyst

TMA:	Labyrinth Canyon/Gemini Bridges		
Length: 1.93 mi.	Width: Dual Track	Class: Primitive Roads	Use Level: Medium
Route Type(s):	Connector		
Surface:	None identified by IDT		
Origin:	None identified by IDT		
Jurisdictions:	BLM		
	Maintained:	None identified by IDT	
	Constructed:	None identified by IDT	

Additional Information: None.

General Evaluation Questions

Does this route:	
• either wholly or in part, have a right-of-way grant or is it simply an officially-recognized route maintained by a county or another government agency?	YES
• provide commercial, private property, or administrative access, e.g., via permit, ingress/egress rights or other jurisdictional responsibility?	YES
• provide a principal means of connectivity within a Travel Management Area or Management Zone?	NO
• exist as a result of a previous agency land use or implementation-level planning document decision and is managed as a transportation facility asset?	NO
• provide an important linkage between Travel Management Areas or Management Zones?	NO
Does this route provide network connectivity that contributes to recreational opportunities, access to specific recreation sites, public safety, or other public multi-use access opportunities enumerated in agency Organic laws?	
YES	
Might the continued use of this route potentially impact:	
• State or Federal special status species or their habitat?	YES
• cultural or any other specially-protected resources or objects identified in Agency planning documents?	YES
• any special area designations, e.g., National Monuments?	YES
• any other resources of concern?	YES
Can the anticipated potential impacts to the identified resources be avoided, minimized, i.e., reduced to acceptable levels, or be mitigated?	
YES	
Can the commercial, private property, recreation or public uses of this route be adequately met by another route or routes that may minimize impacts to the resources identified as part of this evaluation or that may minimize cumulative effects on various other resources?	
NO	

Evaluation Information

Commercial, Administrative, Property and Economics

The following items help to identify the purpose and need of this route. This route provides access to the following facilities and/or jurisdictions for the purpose of carrying out administrative and/or authorized operations or for jurisdictional access.

Primary Access *(leads directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, and IS the main route used for access)*

Type	Description
Agency Facilities	Developed Wildlife Water
Lease Facilities	R.S. 2477 claim
	ROW - Road (UTU 57097)
Range Facilities	Active Allotment
	Allotment Fence
	Livestock Trailing

Alternate Access *(leads directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, but IS NOT the main route used for access)*

Type	Description
None identified by IDT	

Link Access *(does not lead directly to the listed jurisdiction or facility, but is required to access a primary access route)*

Type	Description
Mineral Facilities	AML Site

Recreational Uses

The following items help to identify the purpose and need of this route. This route:

- provides public travel access to the listed recreation sites using the listed travel modes, and/or
- provides for recreational activity and experience opportunities in the area, and/or
- provides important route network connectivity for recreational access between two or more other routes.

Primary Access/Uses *(main route used to access the destinations or use activities listed)*

Type	Description
Activities	Scenic Driving
	Jeeping/4-Wheeling
Modes of Transportation	Stock 4 Wheel Drive
	Modified 4 Wheel Drive
	UTV/ATV
	Motorcycle

Alternate Access / Secondary Uses *(used to access the destinations or use activities listed, but not considered the main route)*

Type	Description
None identified by IDT	

Link Access / Infrequent Uses *(rarely used to access the destinations or use activities listed)*

Type	Description
None identified by IDT	

Resource and Use Issues

The following items help to identify potential natural and cultural resource issues associated with the location and use of this route. This route is located in, leads to, crosses, or is within a set distance of the following resources or issues.

Resource Type	Description
Biomes	In Riparian/Wetlands Crosses Salt Desert Shrub
Managed Species	In Desert bighorn sheep yearlong habitat In Desert bighorn sheep lambing area (RMP lambing) In Golden eagle nest
Special Status Plants	In Jones cycladenia modeled potential habitat
Cultural Resources	Crosses Ineligible Site
VRM/RSC	In VRM Class II - Retain existing character
Special Management Areas	In SRMA - Special Recreation Management Area In BHCA - Bird Habitat Conservation Area In Recreation Management Zone In Wild and Scenic River (Designated)
Water Resources	Crosses Ephemeral stream Within 1/4 mile of Major river In Riparian/Wetland
Misc. Resources	Crosses Erosive Soil - Moderate Potential (Wind) In High-Use Filming Location Within Boundary of Lands with Wilderness Characteristics
Resource Issues	In Noxious Weeds

Note: Specific sensitive resources, such as cultural resources, paleontological resources, or threatened or endangered species are not listed in this report for their protection, but were considered during the evaluation of this route.

Potential Alternative Route Designations

Alternative A (Current Management, No Action Alternative)

Area Designation:

Limited to Designated Routes

Route Designation:

Open

Specific designations by user type:

OHV Public: **Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Open** - The public may use this route by all motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Non-motorized Public: The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Authorized/Permitted Users: Authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.
Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.

Administrative/Official Users: All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.

Alternative B

Comprehensive Designation:

CLOSED

This route will be decommissioned and not managed as a BLM transportation asset. Unless otherwise signed, cross-country foot and animal use is allowed in the area.

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Closed

Specific Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize conflicts between off-road vehicle use and other existing or proposed recreational uses of the same or neighboring public lands, and to ensure the compatibility of such uses with existing conditions in populated areas, taking into account noise and other factors.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: Closing the route would minimize the potential for conflicts between off-road vehicle users and dispersed, non-motorized/non-mechanized forms of recreation. Closing this route would minimize impacts to wildlife habitat by eliminating motorized uses (reducing the potential for harassment of wildlife) and removing the route footprint (reducing habitat fragmentation.) Closing the route would reduce the potential for travel-related impacts to special status species and their habitats by eliminating motorized use and removing the route footprint. Route is a boundary to LWC. By closing this route, the potential for route-related impacts to LWC values of the segment would be minimized. Closing this route minimizes the potential for braiding or route proliferation on public land.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

Closure Method: Natural Rehab

Alternative C

Comprehensive Designation:

OPEN W/ MANAGEMENT

Specific designations by user type:

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Open - The public may use this route by all motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Non-motorized Public: The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Authorized/Permitted Users: Authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.
Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.

Administrative/Official Users: All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.

Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: This is generally the best location of this existing route for users of the area. Relocation to eliminate route-related impacts would likely create greater impacts to documented resources than application of appropriate mitigation or management of the existing alignment. This route provides primary access to State and/or private property and its use may or may not minimize potential impacts to documented resources. Allowing continued use of this existing route, which provides the best access to range improvements, would minimize the potential for new disturbances to documented resources from cross-country use or the need for construction of new routes to provide similar access. Due to the low traffic volume and speeds expected on this route, allowing its continued use would contribute to minimizing the overall route network's potential for causing undue and unnecessary soil erosion, habitat disruption and/or vegetative damage. Route provides access to unique and/or exceptional recreational opportunities without causing greater than minimal adverse effects on documented resources. By providing opportunities for visitors to disperse over a greater area rather than concentrating use, potential impacts on T&E species and their habitats would be reduced. Due to the low traffic volume and low speeds expected, allowing continued use of this route would contribute to the overall route network minimizing the potential for harassment of wildlife. Continued use of this route with the added application of specific management prescriptions, would minimize potential impacts to documented resources. The ROW associated with this route is the determining document with regard to a designation. The Route Evaluation Process carries forward the ROW decision and the data is used for cumulative effects analysis during the NEPA portion of the development of a Travel Management Plan.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)

Potential Management Actions:

Mitigation: Signing - Regulatory

Potential management actions may be incorporated with an overall monitoring strategy that would assess the status and/or integrity of the potentially impacted sensitive resource or resource issues identified as they relate to various external factors, e.g., climate cycles, exotic species introduction, visitor use levels (type, intensity, and season of use), etc. Monitoring data that indicate a decline in resource integrity or reveal methods of mitigation that proved to be unsuccessful would then trigger adaptive and appropriate responses aimed at restoring integrity or successfully mitigating undesirable conditions.

Alternative D

Comprehensive Designation:

OPEN

Specific designations by user type:

OHV Public: Designation per 43 CFR § 8342.1: Open - The public may use this route by all motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Non-motorized Public: The public may use this route by all non-motorized modes of transportation, year-round.

Authorized/Permitted Users: Authorized users may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.
Additional users may be authorized by the BLM through future authorizations.

Administrative/Official Users: All Federal, State and Local agencies may use this route by all modes of transportation, year-round.

Designation Criteria Addressed and Relevant to Route Issues:

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (a) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air, or other resources of the public lands, and to prevent impairment of wilderness suitability.
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (b) Areas and trails shall be located to minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. Special attention will be given to protect endangered or threatened species and their habitats.

How Designation Addresses Criteria Above: This is generally the best location of this existing route for users of the area. Relocation to eliminate route-related impacts would likely create greater impacts to documented resources than application of appropriate mitigation or management of the existing alignment. This route provides primary access to State and/or private property and its use may or may not minimize potential impacts to documented resources. Allowing continued use of this existing route, which provides the best access to range improvements, would minimize the potential for new disturbances to documented resources from cross-country use or the need for construction of new routes to provide similar access. Due to the low traffic volume and speeds expected on this route, allowing its continued use would contribute to minimizing the overall route network's potential for causing undue and unnecessary soil erosion, habitat disruption and/or vegetative damage. Route provides access to unique and/or exceptional recreational opportunities without causing greater than minimal adverse effects on documented resources. By providing opportunities for visitors to disperse over a greater area rather than concentrating use, potential impacts on T&E species and their habitats would be reduced. Due to the low traffic volume and low speeds expected, allowing continued use of this route would contribute to the overall route network minimizing the potential for harassment of wildlife. The ROW associated with this route is the determining document with regard to a designation. The Route Evaluation Process carries forward the ROW decision and the data is used for cumulative effects analysis during the NEPA portion of the development of a Travel Management Plan.

Designation Criteria Addressed but Not Relevant to Route Issues:

(no known conflicts among users or no known resource concerns to minimize for)

- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (c)
- 43 CFR § 8342.1 (d)